

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
11

12 DAVID M. CURLEY, SR.,  
13

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 WELLS FARGO & CO., and others,  
17

18 Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-03805 NC

**ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFF  
TO FILE OBJECTION OR  
RESPONSE TO EVIDENCE IN  
DOCKET NUMBERS 125, 126-1**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 125, 126-1

19 With its reply brief, Wells Fargo has submitted additional evidence in support of its  
20 summary judgment motion. Dkt. No. 125 (exhibit “inadvertently left off” initial motion);  
21 Dkt. No. 126-1 (supplemental declaration of E. Manukyan). Plaintiff David Curley may  
22 object or respond to this evidence by July 13 in a brief not to exceed three pages in length.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Date: July 7, 2015

25 

26 Nathanael M. Cousins  
27 United States Magistrate Judge  
28